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## Introduction

Under the Treaty of 30 September 1854, the Fond du Lac, Grand Portage, and Bois Forte Bands of Lake Superior Chippewa entered into an agreement with the United States of America. Under this agreement, these three Bands retained certain hunting, fishing, and gathering rights in the land ceded under this treaty.

Along with the right to utilize a resource comes the responsibility to manage and monitor the resource. Bands have assumed an increased responsibility to monitor fish populations and to develop long-term databases to set harvest quotas and to monitor the effects of tribal harvest. Fishery assessment surveys by Native American organizations have been performed for many years in both reservation and ceded territory waters of Wisconsin, Michigan, and Minnesota. Fond du Lac and the 1854 Treaty Authority have been actively involved with fish assessments since 1994 (Borkholder 1994a).

The 1854 Treaty Authority and Fond du Lac Resource Management Division work to protect and enhance the natural resources of the 1854 Ceded Territory for the three Bands. Cooperating with local Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) offices, the 1854 Treaty Authority and Fond du Lac identify priority natural resource projects for areas within the Ceded Territory. One goal is to assist with walleye (Sander vitreus) assessments in the Ceded Territory. Walleye have always been a traditional subsistence resource for Fond du Lac and the Lake Superior Chippewa Bands. A 1994 survey conducted by Fond du Lac indicated that walleye were the primary game fish sought by Fond du Lac band members in the 1854 Ceded Territory (Borkholder 1994b).

Three techniques are typically utilized for the sampling of adult fish populations from within inland bodies of water; gill nets, trap (fyke) nets, and electrofishing gear. Gill nets are typically set for longer periods of time (10-18 hours), and can result in high fish mortality. Trap nets have been used for the sampling of adult walleye populations, but catch rates are low compared to electrofishing (Goyke et al. 1993 and 1994). Electrofishing is an effective and rapid method for sampling large areas, and has been used to sample walleye populations by other Native American agencies (Ngu and Kmiecik 1993; Goyke et al. 1993 and 1994) and within Northeastern Minnesota for many years (Borkholder 1994a and 1995). In order to maximize the number of fish handled and marked during the 2015 spawning season, Fond du Lac and the 1854 Treaty Authority chose once again to utilize electrofishing gear for these surveys.

Population estimates can be made using mark - recapture data (Ricker 1975). In this type of assessment, fish are collected, marked (fin clips, tags, etc.), and returned to the water. Population
estimates are based upon the ratio of marked fish to unmarked fish within subsequent recapture samples. Accurate estimates are obtained when a large portion of the population is marked, usually 10\% to 30\% (Meyer 1993).

Surveying adult walleye populations using just electrofishing gear will usually result in conservative estimates of the adult stock. Walleye spawn in shallow water, where they are vulnerable to electrofishing gear. Male walleyes remain in the shallow water following spawning and have an extended spawning period, while females retreat to deeper water (Meyer 1993). Thus, females are only vulnerable to the sampling gear for a short period of time. The Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service utilize trap nets to aid in the sampling of walleye females, thus improving the accuracy of their population estimates. Given time and personnel constraints, we have chosen to accept conservative population estimates as a trade-off to the extra effort required to trap net for additional females.

The first objective of our assessments in 2015 was to obtain adult walleye population estimates (PE) during the spring spawning period using mark - recapture data. Our electrofishing PEs may be biased towards males in the populations, and thus are presumed conservative estimates of population abundance. However, by cooperating with the MN DNR area offices, another PE is obtained using the State's summer gill net data, with which to compare to the spring-only electrofishing PE. An additional benefit of the spring electrofishing surveys is that it allows biologists to identify and determine key and critical spawning sites, i.e. where catch rates are the highest.

The second objective of our 2015 walleye surveys targeted juvenile (age-1) and young-of-theyear (age-0) individuals in the fall. The purpose for assessing age- 0 and age- 1 individuals is to evaluate recruitment and year-class strength, and to continue developing long-term data sets using this data.

## Methods

## Spring Assessments

Lakes within the 1854 Ceded Territory of Minnesota were identified during meetings between MNDNR Area Managers and Tribal biologists. The objective was to obtain adult walleye population estimates using mark-recapture methods and to determine the age structure and growth rates of the walleye population within the lakes surveyed. Fin clipped and colored floy-tagged walleye would then be available during summer gill net assessments. In June, Fond du Lac used short-term gill nets to sample the walleye populations, and obtain a second population estimate. A third estimate was obtained by the MNDNR in the course of conducting their standard summer gill net surveys.

Electrofishing was performed at night using boom-shocking boats equipped with Smith-Root electrofisher units and two Smith-Root umbrella anode arrays (Smith-Root, Vancouver, WA). Pulsed direct current was used to minimize injuries to the fish. Surface water temperature was taken prior to the beginning of each night's assessment activity. Ambient water conductivity measurements were taken using either a Hanna HI8733 conductivity or a Fisher Scientific Digital Conductivity Meter.

Electrofishing surveys were planned to begin soon after ice-out, and continue for as long as untagged walleye were abundant in the samples or when the percentage of recaptured individuals approached or exceeded $30 \%$. Adult and juvenile walleye immobilized by the electrofishing gear were collected. Collected fish were placed into a 90-gallon tank equipped with an aerator and given time to recover. Walleye were measured to the nearest millimeter (mm), examined for fin clips and / or floy tags, and the sex determined (male, female, unknown) based upon visual identification of gametes. Walleye that had been floy-tagged during any previous nights' collections were counted as recaptured fish (Appendix 1). All individuals ( $>254 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were marked using non-numbered colored floy tags (orange color for 2015) (Super Swiftachment Fasteners available from the Dennison Fastener Division, Framingham, Massachusetts). The reason for this was because after many years of clipping dorsal fin spines, it would be impossible to differentiate 2015 marked fish from previously clipped individuals. A dorsal fin spine from five individuals per centimeter group and per sex was removed and placed in a labeled envelope for later aging in the lab. Following marking and spine collection, walleyes were released away from the shoreline.

Mark and recapture data were used to calculate adult walleye population estimates using both the Schumacher and Eschmeyer formula for multiple recapture surveys and the adjusted Petersen Method for single census (Ricker 1975). The Schumacher and Eschmeyer formula was used to take advantage of multiple evenings of recapture data. Walleye less than 254 mm (10 inches, "stock" size defined by Anderson 1976 and 1978) were excluded from population estimates.

Spines from adults were cleaned using bleach to remove the layer of skin on the bone. Spines were set in epoxy resin and sectioned ( 0.3 to 0.5 mm thick) using a Buehler Isomet ${ }^{\top \mathrm{TM}}$ low speed bone saw. Spines were examined using a microfiche reader. Annual rings were counted (McFarlane and Beamish 1987), and marked on overhead transparency sheets. Each spine's annuli were digitized into a computer using the DisBCal89 program (Frie 1982). DisBCal89 was used to back-calculate length-at-age estimates, using no transformation and a standard intercept of 27.9 mm .

## Fall Assessments

Presumed age-0 and age-1 walleye immobilized by the electrofishing gear were collected. Collected fish were placed into a 90-gallon tank of lake water and given time to recover. Walleye were measured to the nearest mm . Scales were taken for age analysis from five fish per cm group prior to release.

Sampling stations used were either those established during previous electrofishing surveys by the MN DNR or by Fond du Lac and the 1854 Treaty Authority (Borkholder 1996, 1997, and 1998; Borkholder and Edwards 1999, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2010, \& 2011). Sampling stations were repeated from previous years' surveys.

Walleyes were aged by counting annuli on scales viewed under a microfiche reader (Borkholder 1996 and 1997). Walleye ages were used to estimate CPUE (number of walleye / hour of electrofishing) of juvenile (age-1) and young-of-the-year (age-0) individuals.

## Results and Discussion

## Spring Assessments

## Prairie Lake (DOW 69-0848)

Electrofishing activities were conducted on Prairie Lake, St. Louis County, on 14-17 April
(Figure 1). Dates of electrofishing activities, water temperature, water conductivity, shocking time, the voltage and amps, the number of walleye collected, and the number caught per hour of electrofishing (CPUE) are presented in Table 1. CPUE ranged from 0.0 (EF5, 14 April) to 180.2 (EF3/4, 16 April) adult walleye per hour of sampling (Figure 1). At a 95\% confidence interval, mean CPUE for Prairie Lake, determined using each sampling station, was $55.1 \pm 34.0$ adult walleye ( $>254 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) per hour of sampling effort.

The length frequency of the walleye sampled in Prairie Lake is presented in Figure 2. Walleye as large as 679 mm (26.7 inches) were observed in the survey. Incidentally, four walleyes were observed to have dorsal fin clips from previous surveys. Additional species observed included black crappie, bowfin, northern pike, white sucker, and yellow perch.

Walleyes larger than 254 mm were marked with a non-numbered orange floy tag along the distal portion of the soft dorsal fin. Table 2 presents the population estimates based upon markrecapture data. The electrofishing Schumacher and Eschmeyer population estimate is 318 (Table 2). The adjusted Petersen estimate is $325 \pm 86$, with an $8.3 \%$ CV (Table 2 ). The population estimates presented in Table 2 represent the population abundance of walleye using the sampled areas for
spawning (Figure 1), and are not estimates of the walleye population within the entire lake. During summer 2015, the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources performed a standardized net assessment in Prairie Lake (MN DNR, Duluth Area Fisheries). Sixteen (16) walleyes (> 275 mm ) were sampled in the gill nets that would have been 254 mm during the May assessments. No walleyes were observed to have the orange floy tag from the spring sampling (Appendix 1). No population estimates were calculated from the summer gill net assessments.

The EF population estimates from this survey are much lower than those observed in 2000 (Table 2) (Borkholder and Edwards 2001). Fond du Lac and the 1854 Treaty Authority dropped Prairie from the annual fall juvenile surveys after the 2004 survey, following several years of not observing many age-0 or age-1 walleyes. This lack of reproduction and recruitment may be reflected in the lower population estimates observed in 2015 (Petersen $N=325$ ) relative to that calculated after the 2000 sampling season (Petersen $N=1361$ ).

Table 3 presents the age data for the walleye collected from Prairie Lake. Of the 237 unique fish sampled, 212 were assigned to ages 4-8. Total annual mortality $(A)$ of the Prairie Lake population was estimated twice using two subsets of the data and the equation $A=1-e^{(Z)}$, where $Z$ is the slope of the catch-curve relationship, and an estimate of instantaneous total annual mortality (Figure 3) (Chapman and Robson 1960). Using all of the age data, $A$ was estimated at $28.5 \%$ (Figure 3, black line). Using only ages $7-11$, $A$ was estimated at $54.8 \%$ (Figure 3, red line). Using catch curve analysis assumes that, 1) there are no aging errors, 2) constant recruitment, 3 ) $Z$ is constant over time, and 4 ) above a certain age (sexual maturity for this data set) all individuals within the population are equally vulnerable to the sampling gear (Smith et al., 2012). For our walleye surveys, generally male walleyes are fully mature and vulnerable by age 5 . The data suggests that, if recruitment was constant (assumption 2 ), full recruitment may not have been observed until age-7 (Figure 3). That is probably not the case. Thus, we may have violations in our assumptions of either constant recruitment or no aging errors. We assume that the true estimate of natural mortality lies somewhere between our two estimates.

Total annual mortality $(A)$ estimated using the MNDNR's gill net data was $6.1 \%$ (Figure 3, green triangles), much lower than the estimates from the spring electrofishing assessment. Our spring estimate was made using 237 mature walleyes, age 4-18. The estimate from the gill and trap net assessment was made using 22 fish age $2-10$. Table 4 presents back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Prairie Lake, as determined using dorsal fin spines.

Stock density indices are used to quantify the size structure of a population. Proportional stock density (PSD) was first proposed by Anderson (1976 and 1978), and is simply a measurement of the
proportion of the fish observed larger than a predetermined "quality" length divided by the number of fish observed larger than a predetermined "stock" length. For walleye, "stock" length fish are those larger than 10.0 inches ( 254 mm ), and "quality" length fish are those larger than 15.0 inches ( 381 mm ). Gabelhouse (1984) proposed further separating "quality" fish into "preferred" (walleye > 20.0 inches / 508 mm ), "memorable" (walleye > 25.0 inches / 635 mm ), and "trophy" length fish (walleye > 30.0 inches / 762 mm ), and calculating a relative stock density (RSD), or proportion, for each category. For example, RSD S-Q is the proportion of walleye in the sample between "stock" length ( 10.0 inches / 254 mm ) and "quality" length (> 15.0 inches / 381 mm ), divided by the total number of walleye sampled larger than 10.0 inches.

PSD and RSD values determined by our spring electrofishing sampling and summer gillnet survey are presented in Table 5. The electrofishing PSD was $73.4 \pm 5.6$ (Table 5). Further, there is a large portion of the population less than 15.0 inches ( $25.4 \%$ of sample) that will be growing and recruiting into this "quality" 15-inch category over the next few years. The summer gill net PSD ( $55.6 \pm 23.0$ ) was not significantly different than the PSD estimate from the spring electrofishing survey $\left(\chi^{2}=2.65, P>0.05\right.$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841). No significant differences were observed between any of the RSD metrics determined using electrofishing data or gill net data (Table 5).

PSD metrics calculated from the 2000 electrofishing assessments are included for comparison (Table 5) (Borkholder and Edwards. 2001). Significant differences were observed between the 2015 PSD and the $2000 \operatorname{PSD}\left(\chi^{2}=127.1, P<0.05\right.$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841 ), and between the RSD Q-P ( $\chi^{2}=$ $-11.3, P<0.05$, critical Chi-square value of -1.64 ), and RSD $P-M\left(\chi^{2}=-3.7, P<0.05\right.$, critical Chi-square value of -1.64 ) of the two assessments. This is largely attributable to many more individuals observed in 2000 between 10.0 and 15.0 inches ( $N=381$ ), than what was observed in our 2015 survey ( $N=63$ ).

## Prairie Lake


CPUE
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|  | $5.01-10.00$ |
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|  | $25.01-50.00$ |
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Figure 1. Catch per hour (CPE) of adult walleyes (fish larger than 254 mm ) by electrofishing station, on Prairie Lake, St. Louis County, during Spring 2015 electrofishing surveys.


Figure 2. Length frequency distribution of walleye sampled from Prairie Lake, St. Louis County, MN, during spring 2015 electrofishing assessments. Length frequency distribution of recaptured walleyes is shown in red bars.


Figure 3. Catch curve analysis of walleyes in Prairie Lake, 2015, showing instantaneous mortality (Z). Estimates are made from April 2015 electrofishing data (blue diamonds), and from summer 2015 gill net assessments by the MNDNR (green triangles). Black line uses all of the electrofishing age data, while red line uses only ages 7-11.

Table 1. Summary of electrofishing activities on Prairie Lake (St. Louis County), and Fourmile, Tait, and Elbow Lakes (Cook County), Minnesota, during Spring 2015.

| ID \# | County | Lake | Area <br> (Acres) | Max Depth (ft) | Date |  | Conductivity ${ }^{1}$ | Shocking <br> Time (sec) | Voltage (PDC) ${ }^{2}$ | Amps ${ }^{3}$ | \# WAE ${ }^{4}$ | CPUE WAE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69-0848 | St. Louis | Prairie | 794 | 47 | 4/14/2015 | 46 |  | 6396 | Low | 1.5 | 81 | 45.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/15/2015 | 49 |  | 1095 | Low | 1.5 | 18 | 59.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/16/2015 | 50 | 131 | 7828 | Low | 1.5 | 168 | 77.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/17/2015 | 48 | 137 | 3754 | Low | 1.5 | 76 | 72.9 |
| 16-0639 | Cook | Four Mile | 593 | 20 | 4/20/2015 | 40 | 40 | 11141 | High / 1061 | $2 / 4$ | 79 | 25.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/23/2015 | 39 | 33 | 16460 | High / 1061 | $1.5 / 4$ | 135 | 29.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/24/2015 | 39 | 33 | 13313 | High / 1061 | 1.5 / 4 | 131 | 35.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/25/2015 | 44 | 37 | 12904 | High / 1061 | $1.5 / 4$ | 80 | 22.3 |
| 16-0384 | Cook | Tait | 355 | 15 | 4/29/2015 | 47 | 34 | 17102 | High / 1061 | $2 / 3$ | 205 | 43.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4/30/2015 | 50 | 35 | 15955 | High / 1061 | 2.5 / 3 | 268 | 60.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5/1/2015 | 53 | 36 | 14697 | High / 1061 | $2 / 4$ | 293 | 71.8 |
| 16-0096 | Cook | Elbow | 408 | 9 | 5/2/2015 | 51 | 31.6 | 7339 | 884 | 4 | 354 | 173.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5/3/2015 | 55 | 30.9 | 5645 | 1061 | 4 | 231 | 147.3 |

Water conductivity measured in microSiemens / cm.
2 Voltage is reported as actual voltage recorded from the SmithRoot Type VI-A, or as Low / High from the SmithRoot 5.0 GPP
3 Amps are reported as from the 1854 Treaty Authority Boat / Fond du Lac Boat. In the case of Prairie, only the 1854 boat was used. For Elbow, only the FDL boat was used.
$4 \quad$ WAE = walleye. Numbers in column represent the number of "stock" sized walleye ( $>254 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 10 inches)) collected. Includes marked and recaptured individuals.
5 CPUE = catch per unit effort, computed as per hour ( 3600 sec ) of electrofishing. Numbers in column represent CPUE for "stock" sized walleye ( $>254 \mathrm{~mm}(10 \mathrm{inches}$ )).

Table 2. Walleye population estimates for Prairie Lake (St. Louis County) and Fourmile, Tait, \& Elbow Lakes (Cook County), Spring 2015. Estimates are for walleye larger than 254 mm ( 10.0 inches). EF denotes population estimates determined from spring electrofishing data. ST_GN refers to population estimates determined from short term gill net samples collected in June, while GN refers to population estimates from samples collected during the MNDNR's summer netting assessments. GN/TN includes all of the MNDNR data from both the gill nets and trap nets. Rows of shaded data indicate population estimates from previous surveys, and are presented for comparative reasons only.

| Lake | Population Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | 95\% Confidence Limits |  |  |  | C.V. ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. / Acre | Lower | Upper | Estimate ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Prairie - EF 2015 | 318 | 0.4 | 299 | 340 | $325 \pm 86$ | 8.3 \% |
| Prairie - GN 2015 | N/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Prairie - EF 2000 | 1582 | 2.0 | 1167 | 2452 | $1361 \pm 469$ | 12.4\% |
| Prairie - GN 2000 | 1637 | 2.1 | 1255 | 2351 | $1350 \pm 1136$ | 32.7\% |
| Four Mile - EF 2015 | 1053 | 1.8 | 790 | 1581 | $1033 \pm 551$ | 16.8\% |
| Four Mile - ST_GN 2015 | 1747 | 2.9 | --- | --- | $13250 \pm 20942$ | 56.9\% |
| Four Mile - EF 2011 | 1872 | 3.2 | 1419 | 2751 | $2129 \pm 991$ | 14.6\% |
| Four Mile - GN 2011 | 2938 | 5.0 | 1546 | 29417 | $8190 \pm 6029$ | 26.5\% |
| Tait Lake - EF 2015 | 1284 | 3.6 | 1144 | 1461 | $1302 \pm 467$ | 8.3\% |
| Tait Lake - ST_GN 2015 | 1370 | 3.9 | 1115 | 1778 | $1682 \pm 927$ | 32.7\% |
| Tait Lake - GN 2015 | 1359 | 3.8 | 1102 | 1772 | $1768 \pm 1255$ | 22.3\% |
| Tait Lake - EF 2013 | 2027 | 5.7 | 1902 | 2170 | $2042 \pm 647$ | 10.0\% |
| Tait Lake - GN 2013 | 1970 | 5.5 | 1906 | 2039 | $1884 \pm 1088$ | 20.8\% |
| Elbow Lake - EF 2015 | 1386 | 3.4 | $1386{ }^{4}$ | $1386{ }^{4}$ | $1373 \pm 651$ | 11.0\% |
| Elbow Lake - GN 2015 | 1716 | 4.2 | 994 | 6284 | $4111 \pm 4986$ | 38.1\% |
| Elbow - EF 2010 | 1353 | 3.3 | 1070 | 1841 | $1265 \pm 456$ | 8.4\% |
| Elbow - GN 2010 | 1650 | 4.0 | 887 | 11887 | $13,860 \pm 24,877$ | 56.4\% |

[^0]Table 3. Age frequency distribution of walleye from Prairie Lake, St. Louis County, Spring 2015, based upon the number of fish sampled and aged per size category.

| Length Group |  | N |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inches | mm | Sampled | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 305 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 318 | 13 |  |  | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 330 | 16 |  |  | 9 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.5 | 343 | 13 |  |  | 8 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 356 | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 368 | 8 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 381 | 21 |  | 10 | 7 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.5 | 394 | 39 |  | 4 | 3 | 27 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 406 | 26 |  |  | 19 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.5 | 419 | 22 |  |  | 6 | 1 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 432 | 17 |  |  | 4 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 17.5 | 445 | 16 |  |  | 1 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 457 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.5 | 470 | 8 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 483 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 19.5 | 495 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.0 | 508 | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 521 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.0 | 533 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 21.5 | 546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.0 | 559 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.0 | 584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 597 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 24.0 | 610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.0 | 635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 | 648 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.0 | 660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 | 673 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 237 | 26 | 30 | 56 | 72 | 29 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 |

Table 4. Back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Prairie Lake, St. Louis County, Minnesota, Spring 2015.

| Age Class | N | Length (mm) | Length (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 124 | 116 | 4.6 |
| 2 | 124 | 190 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 124 | 250 | 9.8 |
| 4 | 124 | 302 | 11.9 |
| 5 | 115 | 347 | 13.7 |
| 6 | 98 | 387 | 15.2 |
| 7 | 69 | 421 | 16.6 |
| 8 | 39 | 449 | 17.7 |
| 9 | 23 | 477 | 18.8 |
| 10 | 11 | 507 | 20 |
| 11 | 4 | 547 | 21.5 |
| 12 | 1 | 540 | 21.3 |
| 13 | 1 | 585 | 23 |
| 14 | 1 | 599 | 23.6 |
| 15 | 1 | 616 | 24.3 |
| 16 | 1 | 633 | 24.9 |
| 17 | 1 | 653 | 25.7 |
| 18 | 1 | 679 | 26.7 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Proportional Stock Density (PSD) and Relative Stock Densities (RSD) with 95\% confidence intervals for walleye sampled from Prairie Lake (St. Louis County) and Fourmile, Tait, \& Elbow Lakes (Cook County), Minnesota. Values are for spring electrofishing (EF) and MN DNR gill netting (GN) surveys conducted during the year indicated.

| Lake | PSD | RSD S-Q | RSD Q-P | RSD P-M | RSD M-T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prairie $-\mathrm{EF}_{2015}$ | $73.4 \pm 5.6$ | $26.6 \pm 5.6$ | $69.2 \pm 5.9$ | $3.8 \pm 2.4$ | $0.4 \pm 0.8$ |
| Prairie $-\mathrm{GN}_{2015}$ | $55.6 \pm 23.0$ | $44.4 \pm 23.0$ | $44.4 \pm 23.0$ | $11.1 \pm 14.5$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Prairie - $\mathrm{EF}_{2000}$ | $30.3 \pm 4.1$ | $69.7 \pm 4.1$ | $29.7 \pm 4.0$ | $0.6 \pm 0.7$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Prairie $-\mathrm{GN}_{2000}$ | $27.8 \pm 20.7$ | $72.2 \pm 20.7$ | $27.8 \pm 20.7$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Four Mile -- $\mathrm{EF}_{2015}$ | $56.8 \pm 5.1$ | $43.3 \pm 5.1$ | $53.7 \pm 5.1$ | $2.5 \pm 1.6$ | $0.6 \pm 0.8$ |
| Fourmile - $\mathrm{EF}_{2011}$ | $57.0 \pm 3.7$ | $43.0 \pm 3.7$ | $56.6 \pm 3.7$ | $0.4 \pm 0.5$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Fourmile $-\mathrm{GN}_{2011}$ | $40.1 \pm 8.2$ | $59.8 \pm 8.2$ | $37.2 \pm 8.1$ | $2.9 \pm 2.8$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Tait -- $\mathrm{EF}_{2015}$ | $28.7 \pm 3.5$ | $71.3 \pm 3.5$ | $27.9 \pm 3.5$ | $0.6 \pm 0.6$ | $0.2 \pm 0.3$ |
| Tait -- $\mathrm{GN}_{2015}$ | $36.8 \pm 15.3$ | $63.2 \pm 15.3$ | $23.7 \pm 13.5$ | $10.5 \pm 9.8$ | $2.6 \pm 5.1$ |
| Tait -- $\mathrm{EF}_{2013}$ | $44.5 \pm 3.3$ | $55.5 \pm 3.3$ | $44.2 \pm 3.3$ | $0.3 \pm 0.4$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Tait -- $\mathrm{GN}_{2013}$ | $40.5 \pm 15.8$ | $59.4 \pm 15.8$ | $40.5 \pm 15.8$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Elbow -- $\mathrm{EF}_{2015}$ | $50.7 \pm 4.6$ | $49.3 \pm 4.6$ | $43.6 \pm 4.6$ | $5.5 \pm 2.1$ | $1.5 \pm 1.1$ |
| Elbow -- $\mathrm{GN}_{2015}$ | $45.2 \pm 15.1$ | $54.8 \pm 15.1$ | $33.3 \pm 14.3$ | $11.9 \pm 9.8$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| Elbow -- $\mathrm{EF}_{2010}$ | $25.4 \pm 3.5$ | $74.6 \pm 3.5$ | $16.5 \pm 3.0$ | $7.1 \pm 2.1$ | $1.8 \pm 1.1$ |
| Elbow -- $\mathrm{GN}_{2010}$ | $34.9 \pm 14.2$ | $65.1 \pm 14.2$ | $20.9 \pm 12.2$ | $11.6 \pm 9.6$ | $2.3 \pm 4.5$ |

## Four Mile Lake (DOW 16-0639)

Electrofishing activities were conducted on Four Mile Lake, Cook County, on 20-25 April (Figure 4). Dates of electrofishing activities, water temperature, water conductivity, shocking time, the voltage and amps, the number of walleye collected, and the number caught per hour of electrofishing (CPUE) are presented in Table 1. CPUE ranged from 0.0 (EF3, 20 April) to 53.6 (EFB, 23 April) adult walleye per hour of sampling (Figure 4). At a 95\% confidence interval, mean CPUE for Four Mile Lake, determined using each sampling station, was $25.1 \pm 6.3$ adult walleye ( $>254 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) per hour of sampling effort.

The length frequency of the walleye sampled in Four Mile Lake is presented in Figure 5. Walleye as large as 710 mm (28.0 inches) were observed in the survey. Additional species observed included black crappie, northern pike, white sucker, and yellow perch.

Walleyes larger than 254 mm were marked with a non-numbered orange floy tag along the distal portion of the soft dorsal fin. Table 2 presents the population estimates based upon mark-
recapture data. The electrofishing Schumacker and Eschmeyer population estimate is 1053 (Table 2). The electrofishing adjusted Petersen estimate is $1033 \pm 551$, with a $16.8 \%$ CV (Table 2 ). The population estimates presented in Table 2 represent the population abundance of walleye using the sampled areas for spawning (Figure 4), and are not estimates of the walleye population within the entire lake. The EF estimates from this electrofishing survey are lower than those observed in 2011 (Table 2) (Borkholder and Edwards 2012), but appear to be similar to previous surveys (Figure 6).

In June 2015, Fond du Lac personnel spent one week setting experimental gill nets for short periods of time ( 20 to 60 minutes), in an attempt to get an unbiased population estimate (Schwarz 2009). Gill nets were constructed similar to those used in Mille Lacs Lake, and were 400 feet long, by 6 feet deep. Four 100-foot panels were tied into a single net, with mesh sizes of 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 inches, measured knot-to-knot, or bar. A total of 72 walleye were sampled (Figure 7), with only a single recaptured individual observed. This produced unreasonable population estimates of $13,250 \pm 20,942$ (Table 2). Table 6 presents the mean length of walleye sampled for each gill net mesh size in this study. 84\% of the fish sampled were observed in the two smallest mesh panels (Table 6).

Since the Bands started their fisheries programs, five spring adult population estimates have been estimated on Four Mile Lake (Figure 6). The MN DNR has participated in the last four surveys. Population estimates, as determined using spring electrofishing, for fish larger than 254 mm (10.0 inches) has not shown any real change over time (Figure 6, blue diamonds). Population estimates calculated from MNDNR summer nets show a steady increase over time (Figure 6, red diamonds).

Table 7 presents the age data for the walleye collected from Four Mile Lake. Total annual mortality $(A)$ of the Four Mile Lake population was estimated at $38.6 \%$, using the equation $A=1-e^{(z)}$, where $Z$ is the slope of the catch-curve relationship, and an estimate of instantaneous total annual mortality (Figure 8). This is lower than the estimate made during the 2011 survey of $47.0 \%$ (Borkholder and Edwards 2012). Table 8 presents back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Four Mile Lake, as determined by aging dorsal fin spines.

## Four Mile Lake



Figure 4. Catch per hour (CPE) of adult walleyes (fish larger than 254 mm ) by electrofishing station, on Four Mile Lake, Cook County, during Spring 2015 electrofishing surveys.

PSD and RSD values determined by our spring electrofishing sampling and summer gillnet survey are presented in Table 5. The electrofishing PSD of $56.8 \pm 5.1$ (Table 5). PSD metrics calculated from the 2011 electrofishing assessments are included for comparison (Table 5) (Borkholder and Edwards, 2012). No significant differences were observed between the 2015 PSD and the 2011 PSD $\left(\chi^{2}=0.006, P>0.05\right.$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841). This suggests that the population structure has changed little between the two assessments.


Figure 5. Length frequency distribution of walleye sampled from Four Mile Lake, Cook County, MN, during Spring 2015 electrofishing assessments. Length frequency distribution of recaptured walleyes is shown in red bars.


Figure 6. Peterson population estimates (with standard errors) of walleye larger than 254 mm in Four Mile Lake, Cook County, MN, determined using the Petersen estimator and data from spring electrofishing surveys (Electro blue diamonds) or subsequent summer gill netting by the MN Department of Natural Resources (MNDNR red diamonds).


Figure 7. Length of walleye observed during short term gill netting in Four Mile Lake, Cook County, MN, during June 2015.

Table 6. Number and mean length of walleyes, by mesh size, observed in Four Mile and Tait Lakes, Cook County, MN, during short term gill netting surveys in June 2015.

| Mesh Size |  | Number Observed | Mean Length (mm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four Mile Lake |  |  |  |
| 1.25 | 36 | 328 |  |
| 1.5 | 25 | 383 |  |
| 2.0 | 11 | 505 |  |
| 2.5 | 1 | 518 |  |
| Tait Lake |  |  |  |
|  | 1.25 | 16 | 336 |
| 1.5 | 28 | 388 |  |
| 2.0 | 6 | 486 |  |
| 2.5 | 3 | 569 |  |



Figure 8. Catch curve analysis of walleyes in Four Mile Lake, 2015, showing instantaneous mortality (Z). Estimates are made from April 2015 electrofishing data

Table 7. Age frequency distribution of walleye from Four Mile Lake, Cook County, Spring 2015, based upon the number of fish sampled and aged per size category.

| Length Group |  | N |  | ----------------------- A |  |  | ge |  |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inches | mm | Sampled | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 | 127 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 | 203 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 | 216 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 | 229 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 | 241 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 | 254 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.5 | 267 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.0 | 279 | 7 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.5 | 292 | 6 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.0 | 305 | 9 |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 318 | 14 |  | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.0 | 330 | 25 |  |  | 17 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.5 | 343 | 27 |  |  | 9 | 6 | 9 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.0 | 356 | 37 |  |  | 14 | 21 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 368 | 31 |  |  |  | 28 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.0 | 381 | 37 |  |  |  | 28 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.5 | 394 | 24 |  |  |  | 8 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.0 | 406 | 22 |  |  |  | 3 | 11 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.5 | 419 | 23 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.0 | 432 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.5 | 445 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.0 | 457 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.5 | 470 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.0 | 483 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 19.5 | 495 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.0 | 508 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 521 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.0 | 533 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.0 | 559 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 572 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 23.0 | 584 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 23.5 | 597 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 24.0 | 610 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.0 | 635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 | 648 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 26.0 | 660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 | 673 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.0 | 686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 | 699 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 371 | 3 | 17 | 66 | 101 | 71 | 44 | 19 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 8. Back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Four Mile Lake, Cook County, Minnesota, Spring 2015.

| Age Class | $N$ | Length (mm) | Length (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 202 | 111 | 4.4 |
| 2 | 202 | 195 | 7.7 |
| 3 | 201 | 266 | 10.5 |
| 4 | 186 | 324 | 12.8 |
| 5 | 144 | 368 | 14.5 |
| 6 | 106 | 403 | 15.9 |
| 7 | 75 | 429 | 16.9 |
| 8 | 51 | 453 | 17.8 |
| 9 | 35 | 478 | 18.8 |
| 10 | 21 | 499 | 19.6 |
| 11 | 15 | 524 | 20.6 |
| 12 | 8 | 547 | 21.5 |
| 13 | 4 | 541 | 21.3 |
| 14 | 3 | 583 | 23 |
| 15 | 2 | 602 | 23.7 |
| 16 | 1 | 561 | 22.1 |
| 17 | 1 | 572 | 22.5 |

Tait Lake (DOW \# 16-0384)
Electrofishing activities were conducted on Tait Lake, Cook County, on 29 April - 1 May (Figure 9). Dates of electrofishing activities, water temperature, water conductivity, shocking time, the voltage and amps, the number of walleye collected, and the number caught per hour of electrofishing (CPUE) are presented in Table 1. CPUE ranged from 0.0 (EF6, 29 April) to 102.4 (EF4, 30 April) adult walleye per hour of sampling (Table 1, Figure 9). At a 95\% confidence interval, mean CPUE for Tait Lake, determined using each sampling station, was $52.5 \pm 13.0$ adult walleye ( $>254 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) per hour of sampling effort.

The length frequency of the walleye sampled in Tait Lake is presented in Figure 10. Walleye as large as 666 mm (26.2 inches) were observed in the survey. Additional species observed included pumpkinseed sunfish, northern pike, white sucker, and yellow perch.

Walleyes larger than 254 mm were marked with a non-numbered orange floy tag along the distal portion of the soft dorsal fin. Table 2 presents the population estimates based upon mark-
recapture data. The electrofishing Schumacker and Eschmeyer population estimate is 1284 (Table 2). The electrofishing adjusted Petersen estimate is $1302 \pm 467$, with an $8.3 \%$ CV (Table 2). During summer 2015, the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources performed a standardized net assessment on Tait Lake (MN DNR, Grand Marais Area Fisheries). Thirty-three (33) walleyes (> 274 mm ) were sampled in the gill nets that would have been 254 mm during the April assessments. Eleven individuals were observed to have the orange floy tag from the spring sampling (Appendix 1). The adjusted Petersen estimate using both the summer and spring data is $1768 \pm 1255$, with a $22.3 \%$ CV (Table 2 ). The Schumacker and Eschmeyer population estimate from this gill net data is 1359 (Table 2). The EF estimates from this electrofishing survey are lower than those observed in 2013 (Table 2) (Borkholder et al. 2014).

In June 2015, Fond du Lac personnel spent one week setting experimental gill nets for short periods of time ( 20 to 60 minutes), to attempt to get an unbiased population estimate (Schwarz 2009). A total of 53 walleye were sampled (Figure 11), with 19 recaptured individuals observed. This produced a population estimate of $1682 \pm 927$ (Table 2). Table 6 and Figure 11 present the mean length of walleye sampled for each gill net mesh size in this study. Similar to Four Mile, $83 \%$ of the fish sampled were observed in the two smallest mesh panels (Table 6).

Table 9 presents the age data for the walleye collected from Tait Lake. Of the 1140 unique fish sampled, 997 were assigned to ages $2-5$ (Table 9). Total annual mortality $(A)$ of the Tait Lake population was estimated at $38.5 \%$, using the equation $A=1-e^{(Z)}$, where $Z$ is the slope of the catchcurve relationship, and an estimate of instantaneous total annual mortality (Figure 12). This is higher than the estimates made during both the 2013 survey (31.4\%) (Borkholder et al. 2014) and the 2011 survey (35.3\%) (Borkholder and Edwards 2012). Total annual mortality (A) estimated using the MNDNR's gill net data was 27.3\% (Figure 12), and was based on the aging of 37 walleyes age- 2 and older, with 12 of those individuals assigned age-2. Table 10 presents back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Tait Lake, as determined by aging dorsal fin spines.

PSD and RSD values determined by our spring electrofishing sampling and summer gillnet survey are presented in Table 5. The electrofishing PSD is $28.7 \pm 3.5$ (Table 5). While low, there was a large number of individuals less than 15.0 inches ( $71.3 \%$ of sample) that will be growing and recruiting into this "quality" 15-inch category over the next few years. Further, more than 500 individuals less than 10 inches were observed, and will be recruiting and sustaining this population well into the future. The summer gill net PSD $(36.8 \pm 15.3)$ was not significantly different than the PSD estimate from the spring
electrofishing survey ( $\chi^{2}=1.16, P>0.05$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841 ), but was only based upon 38 fish stock-sized or larger.

PSD metrics calculated from the 2013 electrofishing assessments are included for comparison
(Table 5) (Borkholder et al. 2014). Significant differences were observed between the 2015 PSD and the 2013 PSD ( $\chi^{2}=39.027, P<0.0001$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841 ). Significant differences were observed between the RSD S-Q metrics of the two assessments $\left(\chi^{2}=-6.25, P<0.05\right.$, critical Chi-square value of -1.64. This is a result of strong cohorts of 3,4 , and 5 -year old walleyes recruiting into the stock to quality size category.


Figure 10. Length frequency distribution of walleye sampled from Tait Lake, Cook County, MN, during Spring 2015 electrofishing assessments. Length frequency distribution of recaptured walleyes is shown in red bars.

## Tait Lake



Figure 9. Catch per hour (CPE) of adult walleyes (fish larger than 254 mm ) by electrofishing station on Tait Lake, Cook County, during Spring 2015 electrofishing surveys.


Figure 11. Length of walleye observed during short term gill netting in Tait Lake, Cook County, MN, during June 2015.


Figure 12. Catch curve analysis of walleyes in Tait Lake, 2015, showing instantaneous mortality (Z). Estimates are made from Spring 2015 electrofishing data (blue diamonds), and from summer 2015 gill net assessments by the MNDNR (green triangles).

Table 9. Age frequency distribution of walleye from Tait Lake, Cook County, Spring 2015, based upon the number of fish sampled and aged per size category.

| Length Group |  | $N$ |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | ---------- Age ---------- |  |  | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inches | mm | Sampled | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 | 127 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5 | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 | 152 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.5 | 165 | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | 178 | 38 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.5 | 191 | 84 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 | 203 | 120 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 | 216 | 114 | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 | 229 | 79 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 | 241 | 66 |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 | 254 | 55 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.5 | 267 | 43 |  | 29 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.0 | 279 | 24 |  | 21 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.5 | 292 | 27 |  |  | 23 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.0 | 305 | 42 |  | 10 | 23 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 318 | 62 |  | 5 | 41 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.0 | 330 | 51 |  |  | 32 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.5 | 343 | 63 |  |  | 26 | 25 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.0 | 356 | 48 |  |  | 27 | 18 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 368 | 34 |  |  | 15 | 11 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.0 | 381 | 26 |  |  | 13 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.5 | 394 | 20 |  |  | 4 | 12 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.0 | 406 | 14 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 16.5 | 419 | 21 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.0 | 432 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.5 | 445 | 24 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 9 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 18.0 | 457 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 18.5 | 470 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 19.0 | 483 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| 19.5 | 495 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.0 | 508 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 521 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 597 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 26.0 | 660 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| TOTAL |  | 1140 | 449 | 186 | 221 | 141 | 27 | 34 | 36 | 13 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 |

Table 10. Back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Tait Lake, Cook County, Minnesota, Spring 2015.

| Age Class | N | Length (mm) | Length (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 245 | 111 | 4.4 |
| 2 | 245 | 200 | 7.9 |
| 3 | 245 | 273 | 10.7 |
| 4 | 215 | 326 | 12.8 |
| 5 | 149 | 364 | 14.3 |
| 6 | 104 | 397 | 15.6 |
| 7 | 81 | 423 | 16.7 |
| 8 | 65 | 443 | 17.4 |
| 9 | 42 | 455 | 17.9 |
| 10 | 22 | 464 | 18.3 |
| 11 | 11 | 492 | 19.4 |
| 12 | 6 | 522 | 20.6 |
| 13 | 2 | 622 | 24.5 |
| 14 | 1 | 666 | 26.2 |

## Elbow Lake (DOW \# 16-0096)

Electrofishing activities were conducted on Elbow Lake, Cook County, on 2 - 3 May (Figure 13). Dates of electrofishing activities, water temperature, water conductivity, shocking time, the voltage and amps, the number of walleye collected, and the number caught per hour of electrofishing (CPUE) are presented in Table 1. CPUEs were very high, ranging from 105.5 (EFZ, 3 May) to 229.3 (EF1, 2 May) adult walleye per hour of sampling (Figure 13). At a 95\% confidence interval, mean CPUE for Elbow Lake, determined using each sampling station, was $161.8 \pm 47.4$ adult walleye (>254mm) per hour of sampling effort.

The length frequency of the walleye sampled in Elbow Lake is presented in Figure 14. Walleye as large as 692 mm ( 27.2 inches) were observed in the survey. Additional species observed included pumpkinseed sunfish, northern pike, white sucker, and yellow perch. Of note, a blue walleye was noted (Figure 42).

Walleyes larger than 254 mm were marked with a non-numbered orange floy tag along the distal portion of the soft dorsal fin. Table 2 presents the population estimates based upon markrecapture data. The electrofishing Schumacker and Eschmeyer population estimate is 1386 (Table 2).

The electrofishing adjusted Petersen estimate is $1373 \pm 651$, with an $11.0 \%$ CV (Table 2 ). The population estimates presented in Table 2 represent the population abundance of walleye using the sampled areas for spawning (Figure 13), which includes most of the available spawning habitat. During summer 2015, the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources performed a standardized net assessment on Elbow Lake (MN DNR, Grand Marais Area Fisheries). Thirty-eight (38) walleyes (> 274 mm ) were sampled in the gill nets that would have been 254 mm during the spring assessments. Four individuals were observed to have the orange floy tag from the spring sampling (Appendix 1). The adjusted Petersen estimate using both the summer and spring data is $4111 \pm 4986$, with a $38.1 \%$ CV (Table 2 ). The Schumacker and Eschmeyer population estimate from this gill net data is 1716 (Table 2). The population estimates from this electrofishing survey are the highest observed in four surveys going back to the year 2000 (Figure 15).

Table 11 presents the age data for the walleye collected from Elbow Lake. Total annual mortality $(A)$ of the Elbow Lake population was estimated at $34.3 \%$, using the equation $A=1-e^{(Z)}$, where $Z$ is the slope of the catch-curve relationship, and an estimate of instantaneous total annual mortality (Figure 16). This is lower than the estimate made during 2010 survey (40.4\%) (Borkholder and Edwards 2011). Total annual mortality ( $A$ ) estimated using the MNDNR's gill net data was 25.0\% (Figure 16), and was based on the aging of 51 walleyes age- 3 and older, and 10 individuals assigned to age-2. Table 12 presents back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Elbow Lake, as determined by aging dorsal fin spines.

PSD and RSD values determined by our spring electrofishing sampling and summer gillnet survey are presented in Table 5. The electrofishing PSD is $50.7 \pm 4.6$ (Table 5). The summer gill net PSD ( $45.2 \pm$ 15.1) was not significantly different than the PSD estimate from the spring electrofishing survey $\left(\chi^{2}=0.33, P>0.05\right.$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841 ), but was only based upon 42 fish stock-sized or larger.

PSD metrics calculated from the 2010 electrofishing assessments are included for comparison (Table 5) (Borkholder and Edwards 2011). Significant differences were observed between the 2015 PSD and the 2010 PSD ( $\chi^{2}=71.17, P<0.0001$, critical Chi-square value of 3.841 ). No significant differences were observed between any of the RSD metrics of the two assessments.

## Elbow Lake



Figure 13. Catch per hour (CPE) of adult walleyes (fish larger than 254 mm ) by electrofishing station, on Elbow Lake, Cook County, during Spring 2015 electrofishing surveys.


Figure 14. Length frequency distribution of walleye sampled from Elbow Lake, Cook County, MN, during Spring 2015 electrofishing assessments. Length frequency distribution of recaptured walleyes is shown in red bars.


Figure 15. Peterson population estimates (with standard errors) of walleye larger than 254 mm in Elbow Lake, Cook County, MN, determined using the Petersen estimator and data from spring electrofishing surveys (Electro blue diamonds) or subsequent summer gill netting by the MN Department of Natural Resources (MNDNR red diamonds).


Figure 16. Catch curve analysis of walleyes in Elbow Lake, 2015, showing instantaneous mortality (Z). Estimates are made from Spring 2015 electrofishing data (blue diamonds), and from summer 2015 gill net assessments by the MNDNR (green triangles).

Table 11. Age frequency distribution of walleye from Elbow Lake, Cook County, Spring 2015, based upon the number of fish sampled and aged per size category.


Table 12. Back-calculated lengths-at-age for walleye collected from Elbow Lake, Cook County, Minnesota, Spring 2015.

| Age Class | N | Length (mm) | Length (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 181 | 107 | 4.2 |
| 2 | 181 | 176 | 6.9 |
| 3 | 181 | 242 | 9.5 |
| 4 | 175 | 299 | 11.8 |
| 5 | 147 | 350 | 13.8 |
| 6 | 115 | 396 | 15.6 |
| 7 | 96 | 435 | 17.1 |
| 8 | 66 | 471 | 18.5 |
| 9 | 46 | 499 | 19.6 |
| 10 | 24 | 529 | 20.8 |
| 11 | 16 | 568 | 22.4 |
| 12 | 7 | 624 | 24.6 |
| 13 | 2 | 647 | 25.5 |
| 14 | 1 | 640 | 25.2 |
| 15 | 1 | 668 | 26.3 |

## Fall Assessments

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for age-0 walleye has been found to be the highest in the fall when water temperatures are between $20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Borkholder and Parsons, 2001). Fall assessments began in the Grand Marais area on 8 September 2015. This $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ threshold was exceeded on four lakes in 2015 (Table 13). All of the lakes were surveyed before the lakes cooled to below the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower threshold.

Table 13 presents a summary of each evening of electrofishing assessments. CPUE for age-0 walleye ranged from 0.0 fish per hour (Devilfish \& Wild Rice Lakes) to 803.3 fish per hour of electrofishing (Crescent Lake) (Table 13). Catch rates were generally higher than normal, with nine lakes having a CPUE greater than 100 age- 0 fish / hour. CPUE for age- 1 walleye ranged from 0.0 fish per hour (Devilfish \& Dumbbell Lakes) to 39.2 fish per hour of electrofishing (Ninemile Lake) (Table 13). Figures $17-41$ present length frequency data for each of the lakes surveyed. Table 14 presents the mean length for age-0 and age-1 individuals sampled during fall 2015 assessments. Mean lengths for age-0 walleye ranged from 101 mm ( 4.0 inches, Two Island Lake) to 179 mm ( 7.0 inches, Cadotte Lake). Mean
lengths for age-1 walleye ranged from 153 mm ( 6.0 inches, Wild Rice Lake) to 262 mm (10.3 inches,

## Cadotte Lake).

## Wild Rice Lake Reservoir Largemouth Bass

Eighty-three (83) largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) were sampled in Wild Rice Lake this fall (Figure 41). Lengths range from 68 mm to 202 mm . The 2015 sample represents the highest catch rate for largemouth bass since they were first observed in this lake in 2009.
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Table 13. Total number and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of age-0 and age-1 walleye collected from 25 lakes within the 1854 Ceded Territory of Northeastern Minnesota during Fall 2015.

| Lake | Date | Temp <br> (F) | Temp (C) | Cond. ${ }^{1}$ | Age-0 <br> Total ${ }^{2}$ | Age-1 <br> Total ${ }^{3}$ | Seconds | CPUE <br> Age- $0^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 1+^{5} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ball Club | 8-Sep | 70 | 21.1 | 42.0 | 156 | 12 | 4705 | 119.4 | 9.2 |
| Cadotte | 30-Sep | 59 | 15.0 | 32.0 | 115 | 3 | 7379 | 56.1 | 1.5 |
| Caribou | 11-Sep | 63 | 17.2 | 67.0 | 348 | 12 | 5615 | 223.1 | 7.7 |
| Cascade | 24-Sep | 60 | 15.6 | 20.0 | 127 | 10 | 4480 | 102.1 | 8.0 |
| Crescent | 23-Sep | 61 | 16.1 | 30.4 | 760 | 4 | 3406 | 803.3 | 4.2 |
| Crooked | 21-Sep | 62 | 16.7 | 45.0 | 7 | 5 | 3831 | 6.6 | 4.7 |
| Devilfish | 9 \& 28-Sep | 67 / 60 | 19.4 | 13.0 | 0 | 0 | 7018 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dumbbell | 28-Sep | 61 | 16.1 | 70.2 | 76.6 | 0 | 6359 | 43.4 | 0.0 |
| Elbow | 11-Sep | 62 | 16.7 | 37.5 | 47 | 14 | 4227 | 40.0 | 11.9 |
| Fourmile | 23-Sep | 60 | 15.6 | 48.0 | 151 | 20 | 5134 | 105.9 | 14.0 |
| Harriet | 21-Sep | 62 | 16.7 | 54.0 | 23 | 10 | 4380 | 18.9 | 8.2 |
| Island Reservoir | 1-Oct | 60 | 15.6 | 82.0 | 237 | 47 | 8760 | 97.4 | 19.3 |
| Ninemile | 25-Sep | 59 | 15.0 | 67.3 | 35 | 69 | 6343 | 19.9 | 39.2 |
| N. McDougal | 25-Sep | 60 | 15.6 | 51.0 | 96 | 15 | 4905 | 70.5 | 11.0 |
| Pike | 10-Sep | 67 | 19.4 | 57.1 | 235 | 62 | 6396 | 132.3 | 34.9 |
| Shagawa | 29-Sep | 62 | 16.7 | 95.2 | 902 | 51 | 11578 | 280.5 | 15.9 |
| Silver Island | 22-Sep | 61 | 16.1 | 37.0 | 76 | 25 | 4624 | 59.2 | 19.5 |
| Tait | 22-Sep | 63 | 17.2 | 42.6 | 200 | 9 | 7698 | 93.5 | 4.2 |
| Tom | 9-Sep | 69 | 20.6 | 34.1 | 303 | 32 | 8097 | 134.7 | 14.2 |
| Two Island | 8-Sep | 69 | 20.6 | 30.2 | 128 | 8 | 5413 | 85.1 | 5.3 |
| West Twin | 11-Sep | 64 | 17.8 | 26.1 | 384 | 6 | 4810 | 287.4 | 4.5 |
| Whiteface Res. | 30-Sep | 61 | 16.1 | 66.0 | 48 | 20 | 6880 | 25.1 | 10.5 |
| Wild Rice | 16-Sep | 68 | 20.0 | 134.8 | 0 | 3 | 3447 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Wilson | 21-Sep | 64 | 17.8 | 47.0 | 21 | 13 | 5732 | 13.2 | 8.2 |
| Windy | 24-Sep | 61 | 16.1 | 30.2 | 13 | 3 | 6785 | 6.9 | 1.6 |

[^1]Table 14. Mean length for age-0 and age-1 walleye sampled during fall 2015 assessments within the 1854 Ceded Territory of Northeastern Minnesota. Numbers in parentheses indicate sample sizes, and are presented when mean lengths are based upon few individuals ( $\mathrm{N}=<20$ ).

| Lake (County) | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age-0 Mean } \\ & \text { Length (mm) } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | Age-1 Mean <br> Length (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ball Club | 8-Sep | 114 | 218 ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ) |
| Cadotte | 30-Sep | 179 | 262 ( $\mathrm{N}=3$ ) |
| Caribou | 11-Sep | 126 | 222 ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ) |
| Cascade | 24-Sep | 125 | 198 ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) |
| Crescent | 23-Sep | 115 | 218 ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) |
| Crooked | 21-Sep | 149 ( $\mathrm{N}=7$ ) | 214 ( $\mathrm{N}=5$ ) |
| Devilfish | $9 \& 28 \mathrm{Sep}$ | --- | --- |
| Dumbbell | 28-Sep | 118 | --- |
| Elbow | 11-Sep | 111 | 200 ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) |
| Fourmile | 23-Sep | 129 | 218 ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ ) |
| Harriet | 21-Sep | 123 | 177 ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) |
| Island Reservoir | 1-Oct | 156 | 228 |
| Ninemile | 25-Sep | 135 | 178 |
| N. McDougal | 25-Sep | 135 | 214 ( $\mathrm{N}=15$ ) |
| Pike | 10-Sep | 124 | 212 |
| Shagawa | 29-Sep | 139 | 226 |
| Silver Island | 22-Sep | 146 | 211 |
| Tait | 22-Sep | 114 | 204 (N=9) |
| Tom | 9-Sep | 129 | 217 |
| Two Island | 8-Sep | 101 | 206 ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) |
| West Twin | 11-Sep | 117 | 221 ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) |
| Whiteface Res. | 30-Sep | 143 | 234 ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) |
| Wild Rice | 16-Sep | --- | 153 ( $\mathrm{N}=3$ ) |
| Wilson | 21-Sep | 128 | 195 ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) |
| Windy | 24-Sep | 155 ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) | 213 ( $\mathrm{N}=3$ ) |



Figure 17. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Ball Club Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 19. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Caribou Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 18. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Cadotte Lake, St. Louis County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 20. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Cascade Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 21. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Crescent Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 23. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Devilfish Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 22. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Crooked Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 24. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Dumbbell Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 25. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Elbow Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 27. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Harriet Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 26. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Fourmile Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 28. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Island Lake Reservoir, St. Louis County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 29. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from North McDougal Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 31. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Pike Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 30. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Ninemile Lake,
Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 32. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Shagawa Lake, St. Louis County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 33. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Silver Island Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 35. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Tom Lake Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 34. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Tait Lake, Cook
County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 36. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Two Island Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 37. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from West Twin Lake, Cook County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 39. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Wilson Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 38. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Whiteface Reservoir, St. Louis County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 40. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Windy Lake, Lake County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments.


Figure 41. Length frequency distribution of walleye collected from Wild Rice Lake Resevoir, St. Louis County, during fall 2015 electrofishing assessments. Blue bars represent the four walleye sampled while the green bars represent largemouth bass sampled.


Figure 42. "Blue" walleye sampled on Elbow Lake, Cook County, MN in May 2015.

Appendix 1. Nightly Mark / Recapture Data for walleye > 254 mm sampled during spring 2015 assessments in the 1854 Ceded Territory, and for walleye $>275 \mathrm{~mm}$ observed in MN DNR summer gill net assessments.

| Lake | Date | Marked in <br> Population | Daily Catch | Daily Recap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prairie | 14 April | --- | 81 | 0 |
|  | 15 April | 81 | 18 | 5 |
|  | 16 April | 94 | 168 | 52 |
|  | 17 April | 210 | 76 | 49 |
|  | MNDNR GN | 237 | 16 | 0 |
|  | MNDNR GN / TN | 237 | 18 | 0 |
| Four Mile | 20 April | --- | 79 | 0 |
|  | 23 April | 79 | 135 | 17 |
|  | 24 April | 197 | 131 | 22 |
|  | 25 April | 305 | 80 | 23 |
|  | June Short Term GN | 362 | 72 | 1 |
| Tait | 29 April | --- | 205 | 0 |
|  | 30 April | 205 | 268 | 47 |
|  | 1 May | 425 | 293 | 95 |
|  | June Short Term GN | 623 | 53 | 19 |
|  | MNDNR GN | 623 | 33 | 11 |
|  | MNDNR GN / TN | 623 | 60 | 16 |
| Elbow | 2 May | --- | 354 | 0 |
|  | 3 May | 354 | 231 | 59 |
|  | MNDNR GN | 526 | 38 | 4 |
|  | MNDNR GN / TN | 526 | 49 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    Schumacher and Eschmeyer population estimate.
    2 Adjusted Petersen population estimate, with 95\% confidence interval.
    3 Coefficient of variation for the Petersen estimate.
    4 Unable to calculate upper and lower confidence limits with one degree of freedom (1 df)

[^1]:    Conductivity, measured in MicroSiemens / cm.
    Indicates the number of age-0, young-of-the-year, walleye collected in each sample.
    Indicates the number of age-1 juvenile walleye collected in each sample.
    Indicates the catch rate of age-0 fish (fish per hour, 3600 sec , of electrofishing on time).
    Indicates the catch rate of age-1 fish (fish per hour, 3600 sec , of electrofishing on time).

